

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,391	10/084,391 02/26/2002		Gregory Gene Steiner		8379
,	7590	02/07/2003			
Gregory Gen		EXAMINER			
P O Box 6151. Honolulu, HI	-			GOLDBERG, JEROME D	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1614	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N-	Applicant(a)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/084,391	STEINER, GREGORY GENE
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jerome D Goldberg	1614
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory, a priod - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
,	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐	disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority document 	its have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received in	Application No
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	•	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	ovisional application has	peen received.
Attachment(s)	priority direct do Giore	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/084,391

Art Unit: 1614

The U.S. patents are cited to complete the record.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Volz et al. reference.

The Volz et al. Reference having an effective date of January 1, 1997 teaches applicant's Kavain as having therapeutic use in patients (see TX, line 8) and shows oral administration at 270-330mg/day for 22/weeks (TX lines 8-20).

The instant claims are directed to preventing a condition which would read on a normal host. Therefore, one skilled in this art would find ample motivation from the prior art supra to employ a known pharmaceutical kavain for preventing a condition with a reasonable expectation that said compound would be effective, moreover, in the 24 weeks of treatment their would be a time wherein the patient was cared and still received the pharmaceutical.

Changing the mammal to a "mammal in need thereof would overcome this rejection.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,391

Art Unit: 1614

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by the Hapka et al. reference.

The Hapka et al reference teaches toxicological studies of kavain in mammals (see ST line 3 and RN, line 1) clearly, toxicity studies would be administering to a normal mammal. Changing the mammal to a "mammal in need thereof" would overcome this rejection.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-5 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 3-6 of copending Application No. 09/ 792,898. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims are directed applicant's compound for chemopreventing cancer while the parent application is directed to a reduced scope of the compounds for chemopreventing cancer.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,391

Art Unit: 1614

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerome Goldberg whose telephone number is (703) 308-4606. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00 A.M - 3:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel can be reached on (703) 308-4725. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-4556 for regular communications and (703) 305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

JEROME D. GOLDBERG

Goldberg/T.G.D. February 3, 2003